HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA
AND
HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE P.SWAROOP REDDY

TAKEN UP WRIT PETITION NO.20017 OF 2006
Dated:13.10.2006

-
-

Rafeequnnisa

…Petitioner

The Station House Officer,
Mirchowk Police Station,
Hyderabad and another.

…Respondents

HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA
AND
HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE P.SWAROOP REDDY

TAKEN UP WRIT PETITION NO.20017 OF 2006

-
-

ORAL ORDER: *(per Hon'ble Sri Justice P.Swaroop Reddy)*

This writ petition is taken up on the basis of a letter, dated NIL, sent to this Court by one Rafeequnnisa, R/o Mehdipatnam, Hyderabad, alleging that her son by name A.A.Imran was illegally detained by the Police Personnel of Mirchowk Police Station, Hyderabad, and that she is apprehending danger to his life.

Upon notice being issued by this Court, the first respondent-Inspector of Police, Mirchowk Police Station, Hyderabad, filed counter-affidavit stating that the alleged detenu is not required in any crime pending with their Police Station, as such they had no occasion to detain him. It is further stated that the alleged detenu was called to the Police Station to cause enquiry about his father, who is an accused in Crime No.2 of 2004 registered for the offences under Sections-468, 471, 472 and 506 I.P.C. On serving a notice under Section-160 Cr.P.C., the alleged detenu appeared before the Police and after causing enquiry about his father, he immediately left the Police Station. It is also stated that the petitioner suspecting danger to her son-the alleged detenu sent the present letter to the Court.

Along with the counter-affidavit, statement of the alleged detenu recorded by the Mandal Revenue Officer, Asifnagar Mandal, is also filed showing that the alleged detenu was never taken away by the Police and that he has been moving freely and also attending the College-Shaaz Engineering College.

In view of the above said facts that there is no illegal detention of the alleged detenu and that he has been

moving freely and also attending his College, there is no need to further continue this writ petition.

The writ petition fails and is, accordingly, closed, at the stage of admission.

D.S.R.VARMA, J

13.10.2006
DR

P.SWAROOP REDDY, J